

# Discussion on 1904.4 big ticket items

Glen Kramer, Broadcom

# Big ticket items as of 5/3/2022



# -	Item	Category -	Description of required changes	Big ticket? 🧊	Status -	Assigned to 🔻
1	Develop and approve project timeline	Project management			Completed	
2	Create draft D0.1 from 1904.1-2017	Project management		YES	Completed	
	package A.					
3	ULID provisioning	LLID provisioning		YES	Completed	Glen Kramer
4	GLID provisioning	LLID provisioning		YES	Assigned	Glen Kramer
5	Multicast ULID provisioning	LLID provisioning		YES	Completed	Glen Kramer
6	Report format and queue length	Granting/Reporting	New behavior: gratuitous reports, dynamic reporting priorities	YES	Completed	Glen Kramer
7	Multicast operation	New 802.3ca behavior	Based on multicast ULID	YES	Completed	Glen Kramer
10	Optical link protection	New 802.3ca behavior	New behavior in multi-channel PON. See slide 22 in	YES	Assigned	Marek Hajduczenia
			tf4_2102_kramer_1.pdf			
11	Data encryption	New 802.3ca behavior	1) Zero-overhead encryption as in SIEPON, pkg.A, but envelope-	YES	Unassigned	
			based instead of frame-based.			
			2) Add support for 256-bit keys.			
			3) Specify encryption using one key per ONU, not per LLID			
12	Power saving	New 802.3ca behavior	Consider additional multi-channel mode	YES	Assigned	Marek Hajduczenia
13	Device and capability discovery	New 802.3ca behavior	New capabilities (fragmentation, multiple channels, etc.)		Completed	Marek Hajduczenia
14	Low latency x-haul (AKA cooperative	New feature		YES	Cancelled	Curtis Knittle
	transport interface, mobile/PON					
	coordination, Cooperative DBA)					

# **Open items**



# -	ltem <b>▽</b>	Category -	Description of required changes	Big ticket? 🖫	Status 🖵	Assigned to 🔻
4	GLID provisioning	LLID provisioning		YES	Assigned	Glen Kramer
10	Optical link protection	New 802.3ca behavior	New behavior in multi-channel PON. See slide 22 in	YES	Assigned	Marek Hajduczenia
			tf4_2102_kramer_1.pdf			
11	Data encryption	New 802.3ca behavior	1) Zero-overhead encryption as in SIEPON, pkg.A, but envelope-	YES	Unassigned	
	based instead of frame-based.		based instead of frame-based.			
		2) Add support for 256-bit keys.				
			3) Specify encryption using one key per ONU, not per LLID			
12	Power saving	New 802.3ca behavior	Consider additional multi-channel mode	YES	Assigned	Marek Hajduczenia

### **GLID Provisioning**



- Preliminary discussion took place in 802.3ca.
  - kramer 3ca 1b 0916.pdf (slides 9-12)
  - remein 3ca 3b 0317.pdf
  - kramer 3ca 3 0317.pdf
  - zhangweiliang 3ca 2 0317.pdf
  - kramer 3ca 4 0317.pdf (slide 5 examples of GLID scheduling policies)

■ No major roadblocks. Just a lot of wring/drawing to illustrate the OLT and ONU behavior.

### **Data Encryption**



# -	ltem <b>▽</b>	Category -	Description of required changes	Big ticket? 🧊	Status 🗔	Assigned to 🔻
11	Data encryption	New 802.3ca behavior	1) Zero-overhead encryption as in SIEPON, pkg.A, but envelope-	YES	Unassigned	
			based instead of frame-based.			
			2) Add support for 256-bit keys.			
			3) Specify encryption using one key per ONU, not per LLID			

□ IEEE 1904.1
does not specify
the encryption
for Package A.

#### 11.2.2 Data encryption in DPoE

Devices conforming to this profile shall implement data encryption and integrity protection mechanisms, as defined in DPoE-SP-SEC and DPoE-SP-OSSI.

Instead, it points to DPoE-SP-SEC

- DPoE-SP-SECv2.0-I06-180228 is 86 pages long. Most of the material can be reused, but needs to be adapted to envelopes.
- Will CableLabs create a new specification, or should it be done in 1904.4?
- ☐ The MCRS and new MPCP are optimized for large number of LLIDs per ONU. Initial thought were that it was impractical and unnecessary to encrypt each LLID with a separate key.
- But one key per ONU may not be enough. A single key means that all the traffic to/from a given ONU is encrypted using the same key. That means that multicast traffic has to be in clear text.

### **Data Encryption**



- Discussion:
- Support per-ONU encryption for all unicast flows, and per LLID for all multicast flows.
  - Complexity?
  - How would ONU know if a provisioned ULID is unicast or multicast?
- AES-256 must be supported (MH, GK)
- CK encryption strength must match DOCSIS
  - CK: Next spec will include support for 256-bit.
  - GK is to investigate where the requirement for 256-bit came from. Also check what key the 50G-PON spec requires
- Consider moving to D1.0 without encryption and adding it later (JC supports this)
- Consensus:

### **Optical Link Protection**

- What happens when only one of two channels detects LoS (switching, no switching?).
- Do we define a 2-to-1 tree protection method where we have two primary channels, but only a single backup channel?

From tf4\_2102\_Kramer\_1.pdf

### **Optical Link Protection**



0x09-00	aOnuProtectionCapability	OK
0x09-01	aOnuConfigProtection	OK
0x09-02	aOnuConfigPonActive	ОК
0x09-03	aONUConfigHoldoverPeriod	ОК

- ☐ In multi-channel 50G-EPON, an ONU has a capability to distinguish fiber cut from laser or receiver failure by comparing signals on two channels.
  - Failure of a single channel does not need to trigger protection switching, but needs to alarm the OLT
- ☐ In 802.3ca, the Channel Control Protocol provides capabilities for the OLT to query, enable, or disable individual channels in an ONU.
- ONU may also use CCPDU for alarms:
  - "Furthermore, the ONU may send an unsolicited CC\_RESPONSE CCPDU to notify the OLT about any local changes in the channel status, including imminent transceiver element (transmitter and/or receiver) failure, local channel disabling, power failure and resulting channel shutdown."

### **Optical Link Protection**



- What happens when only one of two channels detects LoS (switching, no switching?).
  - Trunk protection, ONU detected LoS on one of two channels
    - ONU sends alarm to the OLT (using CC\_RESPONSE CCPDU). ONU does not enter HOLDOVER state
    - If OLT gets the same alarm from multiple ONUs, it may switch to the backup trunk.
  - Trunk protection, OLT detected LoS on one of two channels
    - LoS from a single ONU? Do nothing
    - LoS from multiple ONUs? Switch to backup trunk
  - Tree protection, ONU detected LoS on one of two channels
    - ONU sends alarm to the OLT (using CC\_RESPONSE CCPDU). ONU does not enter HOLDOVER state
    - If OLT gets the same alarm from multiple ONUs, it may switch to the backup tree.
  - Tree protection, OLT detected LoS on one of two channels
    - LoS from a single ONU? Do nothing
    - LoS from multiple ONUs? Switch to backup trunk

### **Optical Link Protection**



#### Discussion:

- Keep it the same as in 1904.1. Don't worry about new 802.3ca capability to distinguish fiber/optic failures.
- $\square$  Failure of any channel triggers protection switching. In other words, treat both channels as one.
- MH will clean up subclause 9.4 draft and submit for June mtg.
- (All on the call support it: CK, SB, JCM, GK)

#### Side note:

Transceiver monitoring needs review. Existing standards referenced from 1904.1 are not applicable.

#### Consensus:

### **Power Saving**



#### Previous discussion:

- tf4 2110 kramer 2a.pdf (captures and analysis of night traffic)
- tf4 2111 consensus call notes.pdf (notes from 11/2/21 consensus call)
- tf4\_2112\_kramer\_1\_power\_saving.pdf (presented on 11/9/21 consensus call. Not on the reflector?)

#### Decisions made:

- No reason to require operators to explicitly turn power-saving on. Power saving should always be on and ONU should enter Tx power saving mode when opportunity presents itself.
- This mode relies on burst suppression and the mechanism is already described in 8. in D0.9.

#### 8.4.4.2 Upstream burst suppression

If the conditions are met for the REPORT MPCPDU suppression per 8.4.4.1 and all other LLIDs (if there are any) allocated within the same grant have no data to transmit, the ONU shall suppress the entire upstream burst, i.e., for the given grant (burst), it does not turn on the optical transmitter at all.

The power saving mechanism (TX-mode) relies on the ONU's upstream burst suppression feature (see <a href="TBD">TBD</a>).

### From 11/9/21 call

- ONU is always in TX power saving mode
- ☐ If the next grant is far enough in the future, the ONU will turn off the transmitter and some of the functional blocks in the Tx path.
- If OLT does not request a REPORT for MPCP keepalive and ONU has no traffic to send or report, it will suppress the upstream burst.

### Other optimizations for power saving

- In addition to slowing down, the ONU should avoid unnecessary transmissions. For example, if all queues are empty, there is no point of sending a REPORT. In other words, absence of a REPORT is as useful information as a REPORT with all zeros.
- However, REPORTs are also used as MPCP keep-alive messages. Thus, the OLT and ONUs need to agree on REPORT optionality.
- How can this be done?

### "Silence suppression" for PON

- ForceReport (FR) flag is used in MLID or ULID EnvAlloc to request queue status of a given LLID.
- FR flag is not used in PLID <u>EnvAlloc</u> because the upstream PLID queue is always empty (REPORTs are generated just in time for their transmission. The presence of PLID <u>EnvAlloc</u> itself indicates to the ONU that it must generate at least one REPORT MPCPDU.
- ☐ The PLID FR flag may be re-purposed as the "silence-suppression" flag.
  - If PLID FR == 1, ONU must generate at least one REPORT MPCPDU
  - If PLID FR == 0
    - If all upstream queues are empty, ONU does not transmit anything (i.e., the TX channel remains in sleep state).
    - Else (i.e., any queue is not empty), the ONU shall send at least one REPORT MPCPDU.
- ☐ If the OLT issued a GATE with PLID FR == 0, and it has not received a REPORT from the ONU, it should...
  - a) Surmise that all ONU's queues are empty
  - b) Not increment the <u>MissedReportCount</u>.

    (NOTE: A received REPORT still resets the <u>MissedReportCount</u> to zero.)

### Questions to answer (1/2)



- Should 1904.4 support TRX mode, where the downstream channel is shut down?
  - OLT tells ONU it is allowed to sleep for x ms. Once ONU's receiver is shut down,
     ONU does not see any commands from the OLT to wake up early if the OLT gets
     DS frames for this ONU.
  - ONU may wake up early on its own if it sees upstream frames.
  - ONU needs to resynchronize when it wakes up (ONU needs to receive a unicast GATE)
  - TRX mode is described in 1904.1. Unknown if implemented by any vendor.
  - Multicast traffic needs to be stopped for the entire multicast group, even if only one or few ONUs are sleeping.

### Questions to answer (2/2)



□ Should 1904.4 require power consumption measurement and self-reporting by the ONU?

### Discussion on the previous call



14

#### Missing features

- □ ONU should report its current power consumption
  - Instantaneous, average?
  - Attribute to report power
  - Attribute to configure averaging window
  - Attribute is valid only under ONU context
  - Sample before the power supply
  - Make power measuring circuitry optional. Vendors should be interested in implementing it.

#### **Answers we need**

- □ Real ONU power measurements by CL (Curtis)
- ☐ Check what power saving modes are used in GPON/XGS-PON (Curtis)
- □ Review EU CoC for Power Consumption (all)
- ☐ Hardware impact of supporting the power level attribute (Glen)
- □ ONU vendors view on power savings (Mike)
- ☐ High level power-saving protocol without cyclic control by OLT (Glen)

- □ To measure power on DC side (power supply output), the ONU will need to include a shunt resistor, an amplifier, ADC, a register to latch ADC values, an accumulating register/logic for averaging over a predefined window.
- □ The circuitry to measure power on the AC side has to be integrated into the power supply unit. The power supply will need a digital interface to allow the values to be read into accumulating logic.
- Today's ONUs do not include any such circuits

### Issues with measuring power



- What constitutes "ONU" is not defined
  - ONU-in-a-stick
    - SoC , PMD driver, BOSA
    - Powered by host device
  - Media-converter ONU
    - One PON port and one UNI
      - » BOSA-on-board or pluggable
    - Power supply internal or external
  - PON-connected fully integrated HGW
    - PON functions + router + WiFi + VoIP
    - Multiple Ethernet UNIs
  - MDU ONU
    - From 4 to 48 users
    - Build-in managed L2 switch or L3 router
    - Optical or copper UNIs
    - Redundant power supplies
    - Optical protection (redundant PON ports)
  - ONUs specialized for industrial applications
    - Surveillance/monitoring
    - IOT devices with built-in PON interfaces
  - Countless other variations

- ONU power consumption value is ambiguous
  - ONU device may or may not have a built-in power supply
    - Power-supply efficiency is 85-90%
  - ONUs may have different functionality
  - ONUs may serve different numbers of users
- No universal point to measure power consumption
- Unclear how the power consumption values may be compared between different ONUs

## Selection criteria vs. run-time reporting

- Power consumption is important
- Power efficiency comes from ONU design considerations
- RFPs may and should specify power targets for the specific ONU configurations requested by these RFPs
- RFP selection process should use power consumption as one of the evaluation criteria
  - In the lab, power consumption can be measured externally to DUT
  - Various ONUs can be evaluated under identical test conditions
- Power consumption is not a parameter that needs to be measured/reported dynamically after ONUs are deployed.
  - No easy way to interpret or compare the measured values
  - ONU conditions that affected power measurement may not be known
  - Confusion and incorrect conclusions may lead to mis-configurations

### **Power Saving**



- Discussion:
- ☐ MH: stay with TX mode only. If TRX mode ever becomes necessary, it can be added via amendment.
- □ GK: It is undesirable to complicate ONUs with additional power consumption measurement circuitry.
- □ JC: Ok to not include power-reporting mechanism in the standard.

Consensus:



# Thank you